

PLANNING COMMITTEE

11 December 2024

Late information

AGENDA PAGES	DETAILS
7 - 14	<p data-bbox="480 443 951 472">AGENDA ITEM 6 – FUL/353462/24</p> <p data-bbox="480 510 831 539"><u>Additional Representations</u></p> <p data-bbox="480 577 1453 707">Following the publication of the agenda, an objection pack was sent by a local resident directly to some members of the Planning Committee. This information has since been circulated by Constitutional Services to all members of the Planning Committee.</p> <p data-bbox="480 745 1453 842">The objector highlighted reasons why the application should be refused. These reasons are similar to those concerns raised by previous objectors, as summarised in para. 8.2 of the published Committee Report.</p> <p data-bbox="480 880 1453 1043">In addition, three other representations have been received, all objecting to the proposal. The main planning reason stated in the three additional objections relate to impact on the character of the area claiming that a children’s home at this location would not be compatible with the neighbouring residential uses.</p> <p data-bbox="480 1115 1118 1144"><u>Response to additional representations received.</u></p> <p data-bbox="480 1182 1453 1245">The material planning issues raised in the additional representations have all been addressed in the Committee Report.</p> <p data-bbox="480 1317 711 1346"><u>Recommendation</u></p> <p data-bbox="480 1384 1453 1480">As the representations do not raise any new material planning issue not already considered in the report, the officer recommendation remains that the application be approved, subject to conditions as set out in the report.</p>